p.424, Table 6.13

Because the area for 28801 (Burbage Brook) should be 9.1, not 13.0 km<sup>2</sup> (see p.22) the observed % runoff should be 77.2 (max), 13.7 (min) and 41.5 (mean). (The corrected values were used in the regressions of Chapter 6.) The PERC. URBAN for 19001 should be 11.4 not 1.7. PREDICTED Tp for 67010 should be 4.0

Also, for 54022, the catchment area should be 8.70 not 8.05.

p.457, 1.11

'temperature' should read 'temperate'

p.471, 1.32

Figure 11.5.2 should read Figure 11.7.2

p.473, line below Table

p.473, line below Tabl 6.25 Figure 6.58 should read Figure 6.68

The estimation of maximum rainfall for durations between 2 and 24 hours should be by straight line interpolation on a plot of rainfall v. log. duration rather than on double log. paper as stated. Fig. 6.68 is therefore slightly wrong. The effect on flood estimation is very small but the correction is necessary to bring the procedure into line with the recommendation given in II.4.3.4.

p.498

The caption to the upper diagram should read

Fig. 7.5a Relationship between melt factor (k) and catchment area with given runoff depth (mm).

p.532, penultimate line p.537-8, computer

program listing

For 'routing' read 'routine'

Delete the two lines before label 3, and also the penultimate line after label 11 (all three lines involve the variable HZMIN)

After label 7, insert LOOPS = 1

The conditional IF statement three lines after label 8 should be simplified to

IF (ABS(HAD), LE.O.001) GO TO 12 In Subroutine OUTFLOW the seventh

line should read

IF (H.LE.HZ(I), OR, H.LE.HR(I,1) GO TO 4